FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOI/PA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET FOI/PA# 1538123-000

Total Deleted Page(s) = 2Page 1 ~ Duplicate; Page 2 ~ Duplicate;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

X Deleted Page(s) X X No Duplication Fee X

196A-NY-215221

Continuation of FD-302 of	, On <u>2/11/92</u> , Page 2 b6
attorneys with whom he appear identified these as firm of WACHTEL LIPTON in No	red to have a close relationship. He b70 in Paris and the
documents relating to the tr	hat he would obtain copies of ansfer of BERLITZ stock from ESTMENT TRUST and make these agents.
daily commute from New York He explained that when he be was in New York City. He he	hat he may soon change jobs since the to Princeton, New Jersey is too long. gan to work for BERLITZ, his office pes to find a job in, or at least e can still be reached at BERLITZ if act him.

SA

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 2/24/9	2
Date of was contacted at BERLITZ INTERNATIONAL, INCORPORATED, 293 Wall Street, Princeton, New Jersey, telephone voluntarily provided the following information:	b b
has been with BERLITZ since and currently is of BERLITZ. Prior to this, he worked for MACMILLAN from until the time he moved to BERLITZ. From he worked for an attorney, whose principal client was and his companies. In disbanded his law firm and began to work for MACMILLAN while at the firm of WHITMAN AND RAMSON.	k k
He recallad an occasion in late October, 1990, or ear November, 1990, on which he received an inquiry from for MACMILLAN, who asked if a SECURITH EXCHANGE COMMISSION (SEC) filing was necessary if BERLITZ stock was being transferred from MACMILLAM to BISHOPSGATE INVESTMENT TRUST (BIT). stated that he did not answer question and shortly thereafter contacted of MAXWELL COMMUNICATION CORPORATION (MCC). questioned about BIT as well as the transfer of the stock. stated that he would get back to within three minutes, received a telephone call from who wanted to know why was asking questions about BIT. told that he had never heard of the entity called BIT and he was concerned about the transfer of stock to this company. told that the transfer was purely a nominee transaction. added that was not intimidating and simply seemed to wanted reassure about the transfer of stock.	ES k
commented on how quickly telephoned after conversation with He felt that must have immediately contacted who, in turn, called	
estigation on 2/11/92 at PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY File # 196A-NY-215221	Subb

Date dictated 2/18/92